

1 FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP  
2 Christopher C. Hoffman (SBN 176334)  
3 *E-mail: choffman@laborlawyers.com*  
4 Megan C. Winter (SBN 241429)  
5 *E-mail: mwinter@laborlawyers.com*  
6 4747 Executive Drive, Suite 1000  
7 San Diego, CA 92121-3095  
8 Telephone: (858) 597-9600  
9 Facsimile: (858) 597-9601

JS-6

6 WARGO & FRENCH LLP  
7 Michael D. Kabat, *Pro Hac Vice*  
8 *E-mail: mkabat@wargofrench.com*  
9 Joseph W. Ozmer, *Pro Hac Vice*  
10 *E-mail: jozmer@wargofrench.com*  
11 Sarah T. Thompson, *Pro Hac Vice*  
12 *E-mail: sthompson@wargofrench.com*  
13 1170 Peachtree St. NE – Suite 2020  
14 Atlanta, GA 30309  
15 Telephone: (404) 853-1500  
16 Facsimile: (404) 853-1501

17 Attorneys for Defendants Time Warner  
18 Cable Inc., Time Warner Inc. and  
19 Time Warner Cable Shared Services

20 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
21 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

22 ADELA FREGOSO; on behalf of  
23 herself, all others similarly situated,  
24 the general public and as an  
25 “aggrieved employee” under the  
26 California Labor Code Private  
27 Attorneys General Act,

28 Plaintiff,

vs.

22 TIME WARNER CABLE INC., a  
23 Delaware Corporation doing  
24 business in the State of California;  
25 TIME WARNER INC., a Delaware  
26 Corporation doing business in the  
27 State of California; TIME  
28 WARNER CABLE SHARED  
SERVICES, a North Carolina  
company doing business in  
California, and DOES 1-50,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: CV10-01668-AG-(RNBx)

**JUDGMENT**

Date: May 23, 2011  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Court Room: 10D

Complaint Filed: Dec. 17, 2009

1 This action came on for hearing before the Court on May 23, 2011, the  
2 Honorable Andrew J. Guilford, District Judge, presiding, on the motion of  
3 Defendants for summary judgment.

4 The evidence presented having been fully considered, the issues having been  
5 heard and a decision having been rendered granting the motion, IT IS HEREBY  
6 ORDERD AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff Adela Fregoso ("Plaintiff") take  
7 nothing, that judgment on the merits is hereby entered in favor of Defendants and  
8 against Plaintiff, and that Plaintiff's Complaint is dismissed with prejudice for the  
9 reasons set forth in the Court's Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Summary  
10 Judgment

11  
12 Dated: May 31, 2011



---

Hon. Andrew J. Guilford  
United States District Judge